UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,413	07/25/2006	Jakob Gerrit Nijboer	NL 040129	1739
24737 7590 09/01/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,413	NIJBOER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ju</u>	ine 2010.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/597,413 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 6 and 17 recites the limitation "which of selected ones of each said at least one area" and "selected ones of each of said at least one area" respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is not clear what, when or how a selection is made in the claims to such "each of said at least one area". Furthermore, only one area is required by the claim, making unclear as to what selection of those suppose "each of said at least one area" is being, was or were made. There is nothing in the claims that lead to a selection of an "each" of the "of said at least one area".

Corresponding dependent claims fall together accordingly

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al. WO2004/059648.

As per claim 1, Takahashi et al discloses a record carrier (1) comprising at least one area (21/15; DMWA) for storing disc management information, said record carrier further comprising an area (14), associated with a first one of said at least one area, comprising signals indicating which of "selected ones of each of" said at least one area for storing disc management information is in use, each of said signals being related to a corresponding one of said at least one area for storing disc management information (see Figs. 2, 5, 6, 8; corresponding description for the drawings; for example see page 27 line 16 to page 36 line 4).

As per claim 2, Takahashi et al discloses wherein the area comprising signals indicating which of said at least one area for storing disc management information is in use is located inside said a first one of said at least one area for storing disc management information (see #14; Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2627

As per claim 3, Takahashi et al discloses wherein the area comprising signals indicating which of said at least one area for storing disc management information is in use is located adjacent to said first one of said at least one area for storing disc management information (see #14; Fig. 2).

As per claim 4, Takahashi et al discloses wherein the signals indicating which of said at least one area for storing disc management information is in use are clusters on said record carrier (1 block; see page 29, lines 10-11), said clusters comprising marks for indicating a first status of said areas for storing disc management information and comprising no marks for indicating a second status of said areas for storing disc management information (see Figs. 6, 8; page 28 lines 9-20; page 29 lines 10-20).

As per claim 5, Takahashi et al discloses the first status indicates that a corresponding area of said at least one area for storing disc management information is in use (See for instance and for example le Fig. 8 (a), DMWA # 1, and the second status indicates that the areas for storing disc management information are not in use (DMWA#2).

As per claim 6, is drawn to the method of recording the above record carrier having limitations similar to the ones treated above, and is rejected for the same reasons of anticipation (see Fig. 5 process of how the areas are found and retrieved).

As per claim 7, Takahashi et al discloses wherein the step of accessing the area comprising signals indicating which of said at least one area for storing disc management information are in use consist referencing to a predefined location on the record carrier (see Fig. 6).

As per claim 8, Takahashi et al discloses wherein the step of retrieving the disc management information comprises retrieving pointer information from a predefined location in the determined last area for storing disc management information in use, and subsequently retrieving the disc management information by using said pointer information (see Fig. 5; step #602; 603).

As per claims 10, 11, 14, 15, Takahashi et al discloses "explicitly" identifies said first one of at least one area (as provided by the search in for example step 601 of Fig. 5) and "implicitly" identifies said first one of at least one area (as provided by the search jumping to a boarder between the recorded and unrecorded area of Fig. 6).

As per claims 12, 13 and 16, Takahashi et al discloses whether the first one of said at least one area and the area comprising the signals are contained at a "known region" of said record carrier (see Fig. 2; known regions defined; for instance and one possible example Lead-in region).

Claims 17-19, recites limitations similar to the ones treated above and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation.

Art Unit: 2627

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/18/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the that Takahashi et al. fails to disclose any association of the DMW's in the form of an area, associated with a first one of said at least one area, comprising signals indicating which of selected ones of each of said at least one area for storing disc management information is in use, each of said signals being related to a corresponding one of said at least one area for storing disc management information.

Takahashi et al clearly discloses "an area" 14 "that is associated" and corresponding with every **at least one** (i.e. each) area 21/15; DMWA, which is for disc management information.

Area (14) comprising signals indicating that such area for defect management information is **in use**, since the recording/reproducing apparatus obtains latest DMWA by the associating it's corresponding area 14. Takahashi et al clearly specifies that <u>recorded</u> area 14, which when recorded implies having such signals that defines neighboring areas between the recorded latest DMWA (in use) and unrecorded DMWA (not in use). Contrary to Applicant's assertion,

Takahashi et al do in fact discloses in forma of an area associated because also area 14 <u>contains</u> the defect list positional information for each of its corresponding "selected" DMWA.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,413 Page 7

Art Unit: 2627

Closing Comments/Remarks

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JORGE L. ORTIZ CRIADO whose telephone number is (571)272-7624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri 10:00 am- 6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne R. Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jorge L Ortiz-Criado/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627